

⑥ The Wigner-Eckart Theorem.

76

→ Matrix elements of Tensor operators.

$$\langle \alpha' j' m' | T_q^{(k)} | \alpha j m \rangle = \underbrace{\langle j k; m q | j k; j' m' \rangle}_{\text{CG Coeff}} \frac{\langle \alpha' j' || T^{(k)} || \alpha j \rangle}{\sqrt{2j+1}}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \langle j k; m q | j k; j' m' \rangle \equiv C_{m q; j' m'}^{j k} \dots \text{"Selection rule"}$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{unless} \quad m' = q + m \quad \text{and} \quad |j - k| \leq j' \leq j + k$$

$$\textcircled{2} \langle \alpha' j' || T^{(k)} || \alpha j \rangle : \text{"reduced matrix element"}$$

independent of m and m'.

proof. use $[J_{\pm}, T_q^{(k)}] = \hbar \sqrt{(k \mp q)(k \pm q + 1)} T_{q \pm 1}^{(k)}$.

$$\Rightarrow \langle \alpha' j' m' | [J_{\pm}, T_q^{(k)}] | \alpha j m \rangle = \hbar \sqrt{(k \mp q)(k \pm q + 1)} \langle \alpha' j' m' | T_{q \pm 1}^{(k)} | \alpha j m \rangle$$

$$\underbrace{J_{\pm} T_q^{(k)}} - \underbrace{T_q^{(k)} J_{\pm}} \rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{(j' \pm m')(j' \mp m' + 1)} \langle \alpha' j' m' \pm 1 | T_q^{(k)} | \alpha j m \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{(j \mp m)(j \pm m + 1)} \langle \alpha' j' m' | T_{q \pm 1}^{(k)} | \alpha j, m \pm 1 \rangle \\ &+ \sqrt{(k \mp q)(k \pm q + 1)} \langle \alpha' j' m' | T_{q \pm 1}^{(k)} | \alpha j m \rangle \end{aligned}$$

comparing with the recursion relation of the CG coeffs:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{(j \pm m)(j \mp m + 1)} C_{m, m_2; j, m \pm 1}^{j_1 j_2} \\ &= \sqrt{(j_1 \mp m_1)(j_1 \pm m_1 + 1)} C_{m_1 \pm 1, m_2; j_1 m}^{j_1 j_2} \\ &+ \sqrt{(j_2 \mp m_2)(j_2 \pm m_2 + 1)} C_{m_1, m_2 \pm 1; j_2 m}^{j_1 j_2} \end{aligned}$$

NOTE:
what we had: \pm
↓
here: \mp

Two recursion relations become identical

when we put $j' \rightarrow j, m' \rightarrow m, j \rightarrow j_1, m \rightarrow m_1$
 $k \rightarrow j_2, q \rightarrow m_2$. for a given $(j_1 j_2 j)$

Thus, $\langle \alpha' j' m' | T_q^{(k)} | \alpha j m \rangle$

$$\propto C_{m q; j' m'}^{j k} = \langle j k; m q | j k; j' m' \rangle \quad \#$$

⑦ Consequences of the Wigner-Eckart Theorem.

a. magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}$ (a first-rank tensor)

$$\langle j m | \mu_z | j m \rangle = C_{m 0; j m}^{j 1} \frac{\langle j || \mu_z || j \rangle}{\sqrt{2j+1}}$$

μ (what we find in the table) $\parallel \mu_z \Rightarrow T_0^{(1)}$

$$\equiv \langle j j | \mu_z | j j \rangle.$$

Using $C_{m 0; j m}^{j 1} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{j(j+1)}}$, (show it by yourself)

$$\mu = \sqrt{\frac{j}{j+1}} \frac{\langle j || \mu_z || j \rangle}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \Rightarrow \langle j || \mu_z || j \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{(j+1)(2j+1)}{j}} \mu.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \langle j m | \mu_z | j m \rangle &= \frac{m}{\sqrt{j(j+1)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{(j+1)(2j+1)}{j}} \mu \\ &= \frac{m}{j} \mu \end{aligned}$$

b. projection Theorem and Landé g-factor.

The projection Theorem

$$\langle \alpha' j m' | T_q^{(1)} | \alpha j m \rangle = \frac{\langle \alpha' j m | \vec{J} \cdot \vec{T}^{(1)} | \alpha j m \rangle}{\hbar^2 j(j+1)} \cdot \langle j m' | J_q | j m \rangle$$

where $J_q : J_{\pm 1} = \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (J_x \pm i J_y) = \mp J_{\pm} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
 $J_0 = J_z$.

proof.

$$\langle \alpha' j m | \vec{J} \cdot \vec{T}^{(1)} | \alpha j m \rangle = \langle \alpha' j m | (J_0 T_0^{(1)} - J_{+1} T_{-1}^{(1)} - J_{-1} T_{+1}^{(1)}) | \alpha j m \rangle$$

$$= m \hbar \langle \alpha' j m | T_0^{(1)} | \alpha j m \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)} \langle \alpha' j, m-1 | T_{-1}^{(1)} | \alpha j m \rangle$$

$$- \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)} \langle \alpha' j, m+1 | T_{+1}^{(1)} | \alpha j m \rangle$$

also, by using the Wigner-Eckart theorem,

$$= \underbrace{C_{jm}}_{\vec{J} \cdot \vec{T}^{(1)} \text{ is a scalar operator, - indep. of } m} \langle \alpha' j || \vec{T}^{(1)} || \alpha j \rangle$$

$$|j, m\rangle \in |j, m\rangle \otimes |0, 0\rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \alpha' j m | \vec{J} \cdot \vec{T}^{(1)} | \alpha j m \rangle = C_j \langle \alpha' j || \vec{T}^{(1)} || \alpha j \rangle$$

If we let $\vec{T}^{(1)} = \vec{J}$, $\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha$, then

$$\langle \alpha j m | \vec{J}^2 | \alpha j m \rangle = C_j \langle \alpha j || \vec{J} || \alpha j \rangle = \hbar^2 j(j+1)$$

also, the Wigner-Eckart theorem says.

$$\frac{\langle \alpha' j m' | T_q^{(1)} || \alpha j m \rangle}{\langle \alpha j m' | J_q | \alpha j m \rangle} = \frac{\langle \alpha' j || \vec{T}^{(1)} || \alpha j \rangle}{\langle \alpha j || \vec{J} || \alpha j \rangle}$$

$\parallel \alpha$ has no effects on \vec{J} .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\langle \alpha' j m | \vec{J} \cdot \vec{T}^{(1)} | \alpha j m \rangle}{\hbar^2 j(j+1)} = \frac{\langle \alpha' j m' | T_q^{(1)} | \alpha j m \rangle}{\langle \alpha j m' | J_q | \alpha j m \rangle} \quad \#$$

• The Landé g-factor

The total magnetic moment of an electron is

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\mu} &= \vec{\mu}_s + \vec{\mu}_L = -\mu_B (g_s \vec{S} + g_L \vec{L}) \\ &= -g_J \mu_B \vec{J} \quad \parallel \text{Total ang. mom.} \\ &\quad \vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}.\end{aligned}$$

We can compute g_J : the Landé-g factor,

by using the projection theorem :

$$\begin{aligned}\langle j m | \mu_z | j m \rangle &= \frac{\langle j | \vec{J} \cdot \vec{\mu} | j \rangle}{j(j+1) \hbar^2} \cdot \langle j m | J_z | j m \rangle \\ &= \frac{m}{j(j+1) \hbar} \langle j | \vec{J} \cdot \vec{\mu} | j \rangle\end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten in terms of \vec{L} and \vec{S} as

$$= -\frac{m}{j(j+1) \hbar} \mu_B \langle j | (\vec{L} + \vec{S}) \cdot (g_L \vec{L} + g_s \vec{S}) | j \rangle$$

Now, using $\langle j | \vec{L}^2 | j \rangle = l(l+1) \hbar^2$

$$\langle j | \vec{S}^2 | j \rangle = s(s+1) \hbar^2$$

$$\langle j | \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S} | j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle j | \vec{J}^2 - \vec{L}^2 - \vec{S}^2 | j \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [j(j+1) - l(l+1) - s(s+1)] \hbar^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \langle j m | \mu_z | j m \rangle &= -\frac{m \mu_B \hbar}{j(j+1)} \left[g_L \frac{j(j+1) + l(l+1) - s(s+1)}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g_s \frac{j(j+1) - l(l+1) + s(s+1)}{2} \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$= -g_J \mu_B m \hbar$$

For $g_l = 1$, $g_s = 2$ for an electron,

$$g_J = 1 + \frac{1}{2j(j+1)} [j(j+1) - l(l+1) + s(s+1)]$$

c. selection rule

$$\langle j'm' | T_q^{(k)} | jm \rangle \propto C_{m, q, j'm'}^{j, k}$$

$$\neq 0 \quad \text{only when} \quad \begin{aligned} m' &= m + q \\ j' &= j + k, \dots, |j - k| \end{aligned}$$

- a spin-j tensor observable in a "unpolarized" state

$$\langle T_m^{(j)} \rangle = \frac{1}{2j'+1} \sum_{m'=-j'}^{j'} \langle j'm' | T_m^{(j)} | j'm' \rangle$$

Survives only when $j=0$, $m=0$!

(The sum vanishes, otherwise).

$$= \delta_{j0} \delta_{m0} \frac{\langle j' || T^{(0)} || j' \rangle}{\sqrt{2j'+1}}$$

→ It vanishes unless it's a scalar operator,
in a unpolarized state.

(electric dipole transition)

- Stark effect: you need to compute

$$\langle n'l'm' | z | nlm \rangle !$$

→ 0 unless $l' = l \pm 1$, $m' = m$ because $z = T_0^{(1)}$.

In general, $\langle n'l'm' | \vec{r} | nlm \rangle \neq 0$ when

$$\Delta m = 0 \quad \text{if } \vec{r} = \hat{z}$$

$$\Delta m = \pm 1 \quad \text{if } \vec{r} = \hat{x} \text{ or } \hat{y}$$

- Emission and Absorption of radiation

Transition probability $\propto |M|^2$ \parallel The Fermi Golden Rule

$$M = \langle \underset{100}{n'l'm'}; r | T | \underset{21m}{n'l'm} \rangle$$

For emission of the photon from $2p$ to $1s$.

$$\text{In general, } T \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{radiation}}}{\vec{S}_k} \cdot \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{atom}}}{\vec{K}_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{q=-k}^k (-1)^q S_q^{(k)} K_{-q}^{(k)}$$

in terms of the irreducible spherical tensors.

$$\downarrow$$

$$M = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=-k}^k (-1)^q \underbrace{\langle 100 | S_q^{(k)} | 21m \rangle}_a \underbrace{\langle r | K_{-q}^{(k)} | 0 \rangle}_r$$

(atom) (radiation)

The Wigner-Eckart Theorem says,

$$\langle 100 | S_q^{(k)} | 21m \rangle = \underbrace{C_{m, q; 00}^{-k}}_{\swarrow} \frac{\langle 10 || S^{(k)} || 21 \rangle}{\sqrt{3}}$$

Vanishes unless $k=1$ and $m=-q$.

$$\text{Thus, } M = (-1)^{-m} \langle 100 | S_{-m}^{(1)} | 21m \rangle_a \langle r | K_m^{(1)} | 0 \rangle_r$$

: only one term survives!

: The photon carries angular momentum 1!